

From: Stevenson, Jennifer
To: [Bowman, Randal](#)
Cc: [Cash, Marcia](#)
Subject: Re: [External] Re: Requests to Remove Comment
Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 2:55:22 PM
Attachments: [emailed receipts through regs.gov.pdf](#)

Hi Randy,

Please see attached. Most likely it came from [regs.gov](#) but waiting on clarification.

On your second question, FDMS only has room for one email address so it would only send it to the submitter, if they provide an email address.

I just got off the phone with Marcia. The HelpDesk told her there are about 149,000 more submissions. She will call Stu to see if he can run a deduplication using "I am appaused...."

Jennifer

Jennifer Stevenson
OS Print Services Specialist
US Department of the Interior
Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management & Budget
Office of the Chief of Staff
Business and Administrative Division
1849 C Street NW - Room 5013
Washington, DC 20240
Office (202)-208-3169

jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov

On Tue, Jun 27, 2017 at 2:04 PM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

In closely reading the helpdesk email, it appears they are saying the interest group send the receipt for the comment, not [regs.gov](#). "It appears that comment was submitted by an interest group that then used her email address to send a receipt back to the user." Could one of you please clarify whether it is [regs.gov](#) that sends receipts, or interest groups doing mass postings?

Second, if [regs.gov](#) does send email receipts, could one of you find out if the system sends an email acknowledgement to everyone whose name is included in an attachment (i.e. "attached are 500 postcards or letters"), or only to those whose names are on individually-submitted comments?

On Tue, Jun 27, 2017 at 12:44 PM, Stevenson, Jennifer <jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Hi Marcia,

Please see comment below from the FDMS Help Desk. I've withdrawn this comment from [regulations.gov](#). Please call me when you get a chance.

Jennifer

Jennifer Stevenson

OS Print Services Specialist

US Department of the Interior

Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management & Budget

Office of the Chief of Staff

Business and Administrative Division

1849 C Street NW - Room 5013

Washington, DC 20240

Office (202)-208-3169

jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Madden, Patrick [USA]** <Madden_Patrick@bah.com>

Date: Tue, Jun 27, 2017 at 12:24 PM

Subject: Re: [External] Re: Requests to Remove Comment

To: "Stevenson, Jennifer" <jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov>

Your name and email do not appear on the posted comment referenced by document ID DOI-2017-0002-350595. Dockets are managed directly by the agency responsible for posting a document for public input.

It appears your comment was submitted by an interest group called the League of Conservation Voters from a website found at:

https://monuments.lcv.org/c?utm_expid=.319lOxk7SC2gwbV9YVE9zw.3&utm_referrer=

From: "Stevenson, Jennifer" <jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov>

Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 at 12:20 PM

To: Francis Lewis <Lewis_Francis@bah.com>

Cc: Patrick Madden <Madden_Patrick@bah.com>

Subject: [External] Re: Requests to Remove Comment

Absolutely, I'm locating the comment now and will withdraw it. Did she mention which interest group it was so I can inform the Program

Manager?

Jennifer

Jennifer Stevenson

OS Print Services Specialist

US Department of the Interior

Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management & Budget

Office of the Chief of Staff

Business and Administrative Division
1849 C Street NW - Room 5013
Washington, DC 20240

Office (202)-208-3169

jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov

On Tue, Jun 27, 2017 at 12:09 PM, Lewis, Francis [USA] <Lewis_Francis@bah.com> wrote:

Hi Jennifer,

The Help Desk has received a ticket from a user who received an email saying that her comment had been submitted successfully when she did not submit the comment. It appears that comment was submitted by an interest group that then used her email address to send a receipt back to the user. The user has requested her comment be withdrawn:

I received an email dated June 25, 2017 at 10:22 PM thanking me for my comment. I did not submit that comment. Please have the DOI remove this comment.

The tracking # is 1k1-8x62-qcvp

The DOI ID # is DOI-2017-0002-350595

Please let us know what you think of this request so that we can respond to this submitter.

Thanks!

Fran

When you decide to submit your comment, you must first agree to the disclaimer and check the box. This will enable the "Submit Comment" button.

5. Once you have submitted your comment, "Your Receipt" is viewable. Be sure to save your comment tracking number in case you want to find your comment (i.e., Public Submission) once it is made viewable on Regulations.gov. Additionally, you can receive an emailed copy of your comment receipt by entering your email address in the field and clicking the "Email Receipt" button. When you have successfully emailed the comment receipt you will see the message "Your email receipt was sent successfully".
6. Check your inbox to ensure that you received your comment receipt.

Do some agencies request different information?

Yes, you may notice that some Federal agencies request different information. If you have questions about a particular comment form, please contact the agency directly.

Will my contact information be posted on Regulations.gov with my comment?

While the form may ask for several items, only the fields followed by a "Globe" icon will be viewable on Regulations.gov.

How do I find my comment once I've submitted it?

Once you submit your comment, the "Your Receipt" screen will display your "Comment Tracking Number". We recommend that you email this number to yourself, by entering your email address in the field and clicking the "Email Receipt" button. When you have successfully emailed the comment receipt you will see the message "Your email receipt was sent successfully".

Your submitted comment may not be immediately viewable on Regulations.gov. Given that certain dockets may receive thousands of comments, a Federal agency may need several weeks for processing and review before posting to Regulations.gov. After the comments are posted, you can find and view your comment on Regulations.gov by searching on your Comment Tracking Number. In some cases, such as mass mail comment campaigns, an agency may elect to post a single comment that represents multiple duplicates.

[« Previous](#) | [Next »](#)

Have a Question?

[Read FAQs](#)

Contact Us

[Email Us](#)

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 6/27/17 3:02 PM
Received: June 25, 2017
Status: Withdrawn
Posted: June 26, 2017
Tracking No. 1k1-8x62-qcvp
Comments Due: July 10, 2017
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOI-2017-0002

Review of Certain National Monuments Established Since 1996; Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment

Comment On: DOI-2017-0002-0001

Review of Certain National Monuments Established Since 1996; Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment

Document: DOI-2017-0002-350595

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-09490

Submitter Information

Name: (b) (6)

Email: (b) (6)

General Comment

I am appalled that our treasured national monuments are up for review at all. Every single one of our parks, monuments and cultural or historic sites is worthwhile and belongs as a part of the American story. I am adamantly opposed to any effort to eliminate or diminish protections for national monuments, and I urge you to support our public lands and waters and recommend that our current national monuments remain protected. An attempt to attack one monument by rolling back protections would be an attack on them all. National monuments have already been shown to be tremendous economic drivers. The \$887 billion outdoor recreation economy and businesses in gateway communities rely on the permanency of these protections when making decisions about investing in these communities. This is a vital economic driver for our rural communities that cannot be ignored or hamstrung. Our national monuments should remain protected for future generations to enjoy - they are a gift that belongs to all. Please make sure you side with the people who support national parks, monuments, historical and cultural sites.